$$=_{b}^{0}, =_{b}^{-}$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$
 Status: ***

I, J, P need confirmation.

In the quark model, Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- are an isodoublet (usb, dsb) state; the lowest Ξ_b^0 and Ξ_b^- ought to have $J^P=1/2^+$. None of I, J, or P have actually been measured.

E_b MASSES

Ξ_h^- MASS

DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT VALUE (MeV) 5791.1 ± 2.2 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the datablock that follows this one. ¹ AALTONEN 11X CDF $p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV $5796.7 \pm 5.1 \pm 1.4$ ² AALTONEN 09AP CDF $p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV $5790.9 \pm \ 2.6 \pm \ 0.8$ ³ ABAZOV 07K D0 5774 ± 11 ± 15 $p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • • ⁴ AALTONEN 5792.9± 2.5± 1.7 07A CDF Repl. by AALTONEN 09AP 1 Measured in $\Xi_{h}^{-}\rightarrow~\Xi_{c}^{0}\pi^{-}$ with 25.8+5.5 candidates. ² Measured in $\Xi_h^- \to J/\psi \Xi^-$ decays with 66^{+14}_{-9} candidates. 3 Observed in $\Xi_b^- o J/\psi \Xi^-$ decays with 15.2 \pm 4.4 $^{+1.9}_{-0.4}$ candidates, a significance of ⁴Observed in $\Xi_h^- o J/\psi \Xi^-$ decays with 17.5 \pm 4.3 candidates, a significance of 7.7

Ξ0 MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

5787.8±5.0±1.3

⁵ AALTONEN 11X CDF

 5 Measured in $\Xi_{h}^{0}\rightarrow~\Xi_{c}^{+}\,\pi^{-}$ with 25.3 $^{+}_{-5.4}^{5.6}$ candidates.

$$m_{\Xi_b^-} - m_{\Xi_b^0}$$

3.1±5.6±1.3

Ξ_b MEAN LIFE

VALUE (10^{-12} s)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT1.56 $^{+0.27}_{-0.25} \pm 0.02$ 7 AALTONEN09AP CDF $p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

Created: 7/12/2013 14:51

⁷ Measured in $\Xi_b^- o J/\psi \Xi^-$ decays with 66^{+14}_{-9} candidates.

⁶ Derived from measurements in $\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi \Xi^-$ from AALTONEN 09AP taking correlated systematic uncertainties into account.

E_b MEAN LIFE

"OUR EVALUATION" is an average using rescaled values of the data listed below. The average and rescaling were performed by the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFAG) and are described at http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/. The averaging/rescaling procedure takes into account correlations between the measurements and asymmetric lifetime errors.

$VALUE (10^{-12} \text{ s})$	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

$1.49^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$ OUR EVALUATION

 $1.56^{+0.27}_{-0.25}\pm0.02$

⁸ AALTONEN 09AP CDF $p\overline{p}$ at 1.96 TeV

 $1.48^{\,+\,0.40}_{\,-\,0.31}\,{\pm}\,0.12$

 9 ABDALLAH 05C DLPH $e^{+}\,e^{-}
ightarrow ~Z^{0}$

 $1.35 ^{+\, 0.37}_{-\, 0.28} ^{+\, 0.15}_{-\, 0.17}$

 10 BUSKULIC 96T ALEP $e^+e^-
ightarrow Z$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

 $1.5 \ ^{+0.7}_{-0.4} \ \pm 0.3$

8 ¹¹ ABREU

95V DLPH Repl. by ABDALLAH 05C

⁸ Measured in $\Xi_b^- o J/\psi \Xi^-$ decays with $66 {+14 \atop -9}$ candidates.

⁹Used the decay length of Ξ^- accompanied by a lepton of the same sign.

 10 Excess $\Xi^-\ell^-$, impact parameters.

E_b DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor
$\overline{\Gamma_1}$	$\Xi_b ightarrow \; \Xi^- \ell^- \overline{ u}_\ell X imes B(\overline{b} ightarrow \; \Xi_b)$	$(3.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$	1.4
Γ_2	$\overline{\Xi}_b^- o J/\psi \overline{\Xi}^- imes B(b o \overline{\Xi}_b^-)$	$(1.02^{+0.26}_{-0.21}) \times 10^{-5}$	

Ξ_b BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\Xi^-\ell^-\overline{\nu}_\ell X \times B(\overline{b} \to \Xi_b))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

 Γ_1/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT3.9 \pm 1.2 OUR AVERAGEError includes scale factor of 1.4. $3.0 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.3$ ABDALLAH05CDLPH $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0$ $5.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.8$ BUSKULIC96TALEPExcess $\Xi^-\ell^-$ over $\Xi^-\ell^+$ • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.• • • $5.9 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.0$ ABREU95VDLPHRepl. by ABDALLAH 05C

 $\Gamma(J/\psi \Xi^- \times \mathsf{B}(b \to \Xi_b^-))/\Gamma_{\mathsf{total}}$

 Γ_2/Γ

VALUE (units 10⁻⁴) DOCUMENT ID TECN COMMENT

$0.102^{+0.026}_{-0.021}$ OUR AVERAGE

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 2

Created: 7/12/2013 14:51

¹¹ Excess $\Xi^-\ell^-$, decay lengths.

- ¹² AALTONEN 09AP reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi\Xi^- \times \mathsf{B}(b \to \Xi_b^-))/\Gamma_{\mathsf{total}}] / [\mathsf{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi(1S)\Lambda \times \mathsf{B}(b \to \Lambda_b^0))] = 0.167^{+0.037}_{-0.025} \pm 0.012$ which we multiply by our best value $\mathsf{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi(1S)\Lambda \times \mathsf{B}(b \to \Lambda_b^0)) = (5.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.
- ¹³ ABAZOV 07K reports $[\Gamma(\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi\Xi^- \times B(b \to \Xi_b^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] / [B(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi(1S)\Lambda \times B(b \to \Lambda_b^0))] = 0.28 \pm 0.09^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ which we multiply by our best value $B(\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi(1S)\Lambda \times B(b \to \Lambda_b^0)) = (5.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$. Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best value.

E_h REFERENCES

AALTONEN AALTONEN AALTONEN ABAZOV ABDALLAH BUSKULIC ABREU	09AP 07A 07K 05C 96T	PRL 107 102001 PR D80 072003 PRL 99 052002 PRL 99 052001 EPJ C44 299 PL B384 449 7PHY C68 541	T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i> T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i> T. Aaltonen <i>et al.</i> V.M. Abazov <i>et al.</i> J. Abdallah <i>et al.</i> D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i> P. Abrey <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.) (CDF Collab.) (CDF Colab.) (D0 Colab.) (DELPHI Collab.) (DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	95V	ZPHY C68 541	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)

Created: 7/12/2013 14:51